

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,457	08/29/2001	Jin-Oh Kwag	6192.0218.AA	5066
759	90 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuireWood	ls LLP		RICHARDS	S, N DREW
1750 Tysons Bl	vd		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22102			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ ·			$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/940,457	KWAG ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		N. Drew Richards	2815			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	_ ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2815

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,469,764 B1).

Kim et al. teach a panel for a liquid crystal display in figures 2 and 3 for example. Kim et al. teach an insulating substrate 20, a first wire 21 formed on the insulating substrate 20, a second wire 22 intersecting and insulated from the first wire 21 as taught on column 6 lines 49-53, a pixel area defined by the intersecting of the first wire 21 and the second wire 22, a pixel electrode 25 provided in the pixel area, a domain-defining member provided over the insulating substrate and dividing the pixel area into a plurality of domains, and an opaque shading film 24 formed over the insulating substrate and covering a texture around the domain defining member. The domain-defining member is the projections 25b of the pixel electrode and the openings formed between projections 25b. The shading film overlaps the domain-defining member at least in part. The shading film covers a portion of the domain-defining member, since the shading film outlines and covers a portion of the domain-defining member it also covers the texture around the domian-defining member. The shading film

Application/Control Number: 09/940,457 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

24 is opaque as it is taught as being formed of Cr, Al or Mo which are opaque metals on column 9 lines 3-7.

Kim et al. do not teach the pixel electrode being transparent. However, Official Notice is taken that it is well-known and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to form the pixel electrode of a transparent material. The pixel electrode is commonly formed of a transparent material to allow a greater amount of light to pass through the layer to increase the brightness of the pixel and to improve an effective aperture ratio. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the pixel electrode of a transparent material.

With regard to claim 2, the domain-defining member comprises projections 25b projecting outward from pixel electrode 25.

With regard to claim 3, the pixel electrode has an opening pattern between sections 25b and the domain-defining member comprises the opening pattern.

With regard to claim 4, the domain-defining member comprises the projections and openings of the pixel electrode.

With regard to claim 7, an outline of a portion of the domain-defining member overlapping the shading film is encircled by an outline of the shading film.

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 6 and 8 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2815

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Kim et al. fail to disclose the counter electrode 24 (corresponding to the claimed shading film) being opaque. This is not persuasive as Kim et al. clearly teach in column 9 lines 3-7 the counter electrode 24 being formed of a metal layer, such as Cr, Al or Mo. These metals are known to be opaque. Thus, Kim et al. has taught the counter electrode 24 (shading film) being opaque.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 2815

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

US ON I

TOM THOMAS

TOMANT EXAMINER

TO THE TOTAL OF THE T